Changes in 12/16/05 Revision of FAQs

FAQ	Question	Change
1.2	Who must comply with the rule?	Reference to compliance deadlines for
		different categories was deleted. They do
		not affect who must comply.
2.9	If a pipeline subject to 195.452 is sold, does the new	Revised to reflect that deadlines for
	operator "inherit" integrity management plans and	identifying segments that can affect HCAs
	deadlines from the original operator?	have passed for all pipeline categories
2.11	If a pipeline transports both gas and liquids (e.g.,	Reference to forthcoming gas IM rule
	some off shore lines), does the hazardous liquid	changed to reference rule as published
	integrity management rule apply, or does the gas	
	integrity management rule apply?	
3.1	When must pipeline segments subject to the rule be	Deleted. All segment identification
0.1	identified?	deadlines have passed.
3.9	When must newly-identified HCAs be included in	Cross-reference to FAQ 13.8 added
	the program?	
3.10	On what frequency or schedule will changes to the	Intention to update USA maps every five
	HCA maps on the National Pipeline Mapping	years deleted.
	System be made? Will PHMSA Pipeline Safety	
	announce or provide public notice of changes?	
3.22	The National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS)	Revised to delete reference to New York,
	does not contain maps for ecological USAs in	since USA maps in NPMS are now
	Pennsylvania. Are operators responsible for	complete for NY
4.1	identifying USAs in Pennsylvania? What is an assessment?	Adds external corrosion direct assessment as
7.1	What is an assessment:	acceptable method
4.4	When must baseline assessments be completed?	Revised to reflect that 50% complete
		deadlines have passed for all pipeline
		categories
4.8	The rule does not require the Baseline Assessment	Deleted. 50% deadlines, to which the
	Plan to be developed until March 31, 2002;	reference to lower-risk segments applies,
	however integrity assessments performed since	have passed.
	January 1, 1996 can be used to satisfy the baseline	
	integrity assessment requirement. Will operators be	
	penalized for using prior assessments as a baseline	
	assessment if their risk analysis determines that some of these segments may be lower risk than	
	segments which have yet to be assessed?	
4.9	Will operators need to seek waivers from PHMSA	Reference to 50% deadlines deleted, as all
	Pipeline Safety In order to change assessment	have passed.
	schedules after the initial Baseline Assessment Plan	
	has been developed?	
4.12	The rule requires that 50% of the line pipe that can	Deleted, as 50% deadlines have passed
	affect HCAs must have been assessed by September	
	30, 2004 for category 1 pipe and August 16, 2005	
	for category 2 pipe. For purposes of determining the	
	50% mileage criteria, does an operator use the total	
	mileage that has been and will be assessed, or just	
	the mileage that has been determined as having the	
	ability to impact an HCA? (For example, most operators who use internal inspection, will pig a	
	greater distance than just the portion of the pipeline	
	that can affect an HCA.)	
<u> </u>	man can anote an more,	

4.12	F	C1-4:
4.13	For purposes of meeting the deadlines for	Completion reference for external corrosion
	completing baseline assessments, is the date of the	direct assessment added. Revised to note
	assessment considered to be the day when the tool	that 180-day discovery deadline applies to
	run is complete, when the preliminary data is	individual ILI tool runs.
	received, or when the evaluation of the in-line	
	inspection results is complete?	
4.15	If an operator develops a single Baseline	Revised for clarity
	Assessment Plan that covers both intra- and	
	interstate pipelines, does the need to complete	
	assessments on 50% of the pipeline mileage that	
	can affect HCAs apply to both intra- and interstate	
	line segments, or just interstate line segment	
	mileage? Should the company's Plan identify	
	whether line segments are intra- or interstate?	
4.18	What specificity does PHMSA Pipeline Safety	Specific expectations deleted. PHMSA
4.10	expect for schedules in baseline assessment plans?	expects to see a viable, active planning and
	expect for senedures in basefine assessment plans:	scheduling process
5.1	How often must periodic integrity assessments be	Need for selected interval to be technically
3.1	performed on pipeline segments that can affect an	defensible is added.
		defensible is added.
	HCA after the baseline assessment is completed?	
5.8	The gas transmission integrity management	Revised to reflect gas transmission IM rule
3.8	The gas transmission integrity management rule	
	includes a provision for waiver of reassessment	as published
	intervals if necessary to maintain product supply.	
	Is PHMSA Pipeline Safety considering/willing to	
	extend the same or similar provisions to hazardous	
	liquids operators? How would such considerations	
	be handled?	
5.10	What is the difference between the "periodic	Added
	evaluation" required by 195.452 (j) (2) and the	
	process for determining reassessment intervals	
	required by 195.452 (j) (3)?	
6.1	What are acceptable integrity assessment	External corrosion direct assessment added
	methods?	
(17	A made attention to a manufacture	Desired Constant
6.15	A reduction in operating pressure can provide an	Revised for clarity
	equivalent level of safety as that provided by a	
	Subpart E hydrostatic test. Is a pressure reduction	
	an acceptable integrity assessment method?	
	Will DVD (G) Di Vi G G) VI VI VI	D : 1: Q : 1 : 2:
6.16	Will PHMSA Pipeline Safety allow liquid	Revised to reflect rule change adding
	operators to use the Direct Assessment process	external corrosion direct assessment as an
	allowed in the gas transmission integrity	acceptable assessment method
	management rule as an acceptable "other	
	technology" for integrity assessment [see 195.452	
	(c) (i) (C)]?	
7.1	Do the anomaly repair schedule requirements in	Reference to FAQ 7.13 added
	195.452 (h) apply to ALL previous internal	
	inspection runs performed by the operator, or just	
	the integrity assessments required by 195.452 (i.e.,	
	the baseline assessment and subsequent integrity	

	assessments)?	
7.4	What is an 'immediate repair condition?'	Pressure must be reduced as soon as safety allows and operation must be at or below the reduced pressure until a repair is made
7.20	Is a 20 percent reduction in pressure an adequate interim measure for immediate repair conditions?	Reference to FAQs 7.15 and 7.22 added
8.3	Will PHMSA Pipeline Safety prepare templates for Baseline Assessment Plans or Integrity Management Program Frameworks that operators can use?	Deleted. Deadlines for preparing baseline assessment plans have passed.
8.4	What is the difference between an acceptable Integrity Management Framework and a fully developed Integrity Management Program?	Deleted. Operators are expected to have progressed beyond the framework stage.
9.3	What criteria will OPS use to determine whether an operator's evaluation of the need for EFRDs is satisfactory?	Repetition of analysis factors in the rule deleted
9.5	What is the minimum acceptable leak detection system in order to comply with 195.452 (i) (3), which states "an operator must have a means to detect leaks on its pipeline system."?	Repetition of analysis factors in the rule deleted. Reference to FAQ 9.4 added.
10.5	Will Integrity Management Program inspections be scheduled in advance?	Deleted. With first round inspections nearing completion (only small operators remain), this question is considered moot.
10.12	Will integrity management inspection results on a company be publicly available?	Reference to consideration of making summary level information on industry performance available deleted.
10.15	How will PHMSA Pipeline Safety ensure consistency in enforcing integrity management requirements?	Revised to reflect actions currently being taken.
11.7	If an operator develops a single Baseline Assessment Plan that covers both intra- and interstate pipelines, does the need to complete assessments on 50% of the pipeline mileage that can affect HCAs apply to both intra- and interstate line segments, or just interstate line segment mileage?	Deleted. Rendered moot with passage of 50% deadlines.
12.1	What types of notifications are required by the rule?	Revised to note that external corrosion direct assessment is an accepted assessment method, not "other technology".
12.3	When must notifications be submitted?	Deleted. Adds no information beyond requirements in rule
12.5	How can notifications be submitted?	Reflect current web portal for on-line submissions
12.7	How will an operator know if PHMSA Pipeline Safety objects to its notification?	Answer expanded to reflect current means of responding to notifications

12.8	How will an operator know if PHMSA Pipeline Safety has no objections to its notification?	Deleted. Incorporated in 12.7
13.1	How do operators obtain information about the location of high consequence areas now that National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS) information is no longer publicly available on the internet?	URL (web address) revised
13.4	When will OPS require operators who have not supplied their system maps to the National Pipeline Mapping System to provide this data?	Deleted. Action has been completed
13.9	When does OPS expect to update the National Pipeline Mapping System?	Reference to "recent" updates deleted, as those updates occurred in 2003.
Misc.	Category 14, Miscellaneous, has been deleted. Questions previously in this category have been relocated as shown.	14.1 is now 7.23 14.2 is now 1.5 14.3 is now 1.6 14.4 is now 1.7 14.5 has been deleted (see below) 14.6 is now 8.18 14.7 is now 8.19 14.8 is now 10.16
14.5	API-1160 was recently approved. What process will OPS use to determine whether to adopt or reference portions or all of this standard in 195? Does OPS intend to reference API-1160, or replace Appendix C with API-1160? On what time frame can the industry expect this decision to be made?	Deleted. PHMSA will post any future adoptions of API 1160 on its web site and the Federal Register, as appropriate.